

somewhere so he couldn't talk. That was what the statement of the international arms dealer has been—the statement that was sent to me—and yet they want to bring here someone they say they are certain committed an act of war against the United States.

I heard on the news today that, gee, they have had evidence of this al-Shabaab involvement since the event happened. Since the event happened? That would mean all of the time that Secretary Clinton was out there—saying it was the video and looking family members of the deceased of Benghazi in the eye and saying: We are going to get the guy who did the video—she knew that the evidence was nothing of the sort, that the video had nothing to do with the loss of these four American lives.

There is no right of someone who commits an act of war against the United States to get an immediate trial. He is not entitled under our Constitution to get a speedy trial. He is not under our Constitution entitled to get a trial before a U.S. district court. He is entitled under the current law to go to Guantanamo Bay—where no one has ever been waterboarded by the way—and have a trial in that courtroom. I went through it, and I was impressed at how well equipped it was for trying terrorists, even to the extent of having bulletproof glass for the gallery.

There could be all kinds of horrible scenarios to arise out of this administration's insistence on bringing an enemy combatant—a warrior against the United States—who should be considered either an enemy combatant or a prisoner of war. He shouldn't be brought. There are too many bad things that can happen. New York has suffered enough.

I do want to finish with this one article, published this week by Breitbart and written by Kerry Pickett. I have talked for some time about a Texan named Mohamed Elibary. I questioned our Secretary of Homeland Security about her giving him a secret security clearance when he clearly should not have met any of the requirements to get such a clearance. We knew that he had downloaded two documents from using his secret classification. According to reporter Patrick Poole, not only did he download them, but he offered them to national media for publication.

Mr. Elibary has gotten so cocky now because I have been talking about this for a number of years. The administration has not bothered to revoke his secret classification, and he continues to be one of the top advisers to Homeland Security. It is our homeland security for heaven's sake, and he sends out this tweet on June 13 that says:

Kind of comical watching pundits on some U.S. TV channels freak out about an ISIS caliphate. Easy, folks. Take deep breaths and relax.

Kerry Pickett reports:

Mohamed Elibary, a member on the Obama administration's Homeland Security Advisory Council, is at the center of a controversy involving allegations that former DHS Secretary Janet Napolitano gave him secret clearance, which led to his downloading classified information. According to Representative Louie Gohmert, Elibary later shopped that classified material around to a reporter.

Elibary, a supporter of the Muslim Brotherhood, who regularly goes after the Sisi-led Egyptian Government, is also an active participant on Twitter, and mocked the "freak out" by U.S. talking heads discussing the terrorist activities relating to the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria, ISIS.

So Elibary says that. He thinks it is comical watching pundits freak out over the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria's caliphate.

He goes on in another tweet in response to a tweet back that says:

So no need to be outraged?

He says:

As I've said before, inevitable that caliphate returns. Choice only whether we support an EU-like Muslim Union vision or not.

So Mr. Elibary, who is a top adviser in the United States of America Homeland Security Department, is saying it is inevitable that we have an Islamic caliphate over the United States. It is just whether or not we are going to embrace a European Union-style caliphate that is coming or something else.

Even when he is questioned again by another tweet, in talking about an Islamic caliphate, he says:

The U.S. is heading in the direction. Bush created the OIC—Organization of Islamic Council—Special Envoy.

So that took us a little bit down the road to being part of the caliphate. Then he says:

Obama removed the discriminatory engagement policy toward the Muslim Brotherhood.

That is the purging of documents I have been talking about for years. This administration, according to their Homeland Security adviser here, has been moving toward being part of a caliphate for years. Get used to it. He finds it comical that pundits are even worried about it.

With the lawlessness that is occurring in the United States and inside our Justice Department and in this administration in numerous places—in the IRS, on our border—it is time for Americans to wake up, and it is time for Americans to let their Congressmen and Senators know we have had enough lawlessness. You guys have got to hold the Attorney General and the President accountable. Once enough people wake up and demand it, they will get it because the adage remains true: democracy ensures a people get a government no better than they deserve.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mrs. KIRKPATRICK (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for June 18–20 on account of family obligations.

#### SENATE ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The Speaker announced his signature to an enrolled bill of the Senate of the following title:

S. 1254. An act to amend the Harmful Algal Blooms and Hypoxia Research and Control Act of 1998, and for other purposes.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 15 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, June 19, 2014, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

6013. A letter from the Associate Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Marketing Order Regulating the Handling of Spearmint Oil Produced in the Far West; Salable Quantities and Allotment Percentages for the 2014–2015 Marketing Year [Doc. No.: AMS-FV-13-0087; FV14-985-1 FR] received May 23, 2014, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

6014. A letter from the Associate Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Grapes Grown in a Designated Area of Southeastern California; Increased Assessment Rate [Doc. No.: AMS-FV-14-0010; FV14-925-1 FR] received May 28, 2014, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

6015. A letter from the Associate Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — User Fees for 2014 Crop Cotton Classification Services to Growers [AMS-CN-13-0085] (RIN: 0581-AD35) received May 28, 2014, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

6016. A letter from the Acting Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Eric E. Fiel, United States Air Force, and his advancement on the retired list to the grade of lieutenant general; to the Committee on Armed Services.

6017. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Contractor Personnel Supporting U.S. Armed Forces Deployed Outside the United States (DFARS Case 2013-D015) (RIN: 0750-A101) received May 23, 2014, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

6018. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, GSA, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-74; Introduction [Docket No.: FAR 2014-0051; Sequence No. 1] received June 2, 2014, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

6019. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, GSA, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation;